AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 & 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

•		15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action	_	
filed in the U.S. Dis	strict Court Northern	n District of CA on the following X Patents or	☐ Trademarks:	
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
CV 11-02699 PJH	6/6/11	Northern District of CA., 1301 Clay St., Rm 4	00S, Oakland CA 94612	
PLAINTIFF OSRAM GMBH		DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS, INC, et al		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
17,151,283				
27, 629, 621				
² 7, 629,621 ³ 7,126,162 ⁴ 6,812,500		·		
5				
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	ving patent(s) have been included:		
		Amendment Answer Cross Bill	Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5 In the above DECISION/JUDGEMENT	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 2 3 4 5 In the above	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRA		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OSRAM GMBH

Plaintiff,

٧.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG INNOTEK CO., LTD., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and LG INNOTEK U.S.A., INC.

Defendants.

Case No. CV 11-02699 PJH

[PROPOSED] FINAL DECISION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

Pursuant to stipulation and joint motion of Plaintiff OSRAM GmbH and Defendants LG Electronics, Inc., LG Innotek Co., Ltd., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Innotek U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, "LG"), which includes the stipulation that this final decision should be entered, this Court enters this final decision that LG has not sustained its burden of proving the invalidity of any patent claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,151,283, U.S. Patent No. 7,629,621, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,162, or U.S. Patent No. 6,812,500.

This action is dismissed with prejudice in its entirety. Each party is to pay its own costs and attorneys' fees. This decision is an Order of the Court which is final, enforceable, and not appealable.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: November <u>14</u>, 2012

By:

The Honorab TI IS SO ORDERED Camilton United States Index Phyllis J. Hamilton Sudge

[PROPOSED] FINAL DECISION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION